

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/803,715	03/09/2001	Jia Li	91-C-127C1 (STMI01-00022)	1849
30425	7590 08/25/2004		EXAMINER	
STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.			MALDONADO, JULIO J	
MAIL STATION 2346 1310 ELECTRONICS DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CARROLLTC	ON, TX 75006		2823	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/803,715	LI, JIA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Julio J. Maldonado	2823	ne ne			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c		dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co-				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju						
	action is non-final.					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1 and 32-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 46 and 47 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 32-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 June 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of the orde	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• /			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	-152)			

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The final rejection as set forth in Office Action mailed 12/03/2003 is withdrawn in view of the newly found reference in view of Katoh et al. (U.S. 5,169,792).
- 2. Claims 1 and 32-47 are pending in the application from which claims 46 and 47 were withdrawn from consideration.

Drawings

3. The informal drawings are not of sufficient quality to permit examination.

Accordingly, replacement drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to this Office action. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action.

Applicant is given a TWO MONTH time period to submit new drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.81. Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely submit replacement drawing sheets will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 2823

5. Claims 1 and 38 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 1 and 38 recite, "... without forming an oxide on the first isolation areas, forming a second patterning layer...". However, there is no description of forming a second patterning layer "without forming an oxide" as recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 32, 35, 38, 39, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katoh et al. (U.S. 5,169,792).

In reference to claim 1 Katoh et al. (Fig.1A-1F) teach a method of forming isolation regions including providing a first region (logic element side) and a second region (memory element side) within a substrate (100); forming an oxidation barrier (103) made of silicon nitride on a surface of the substrate (100) over the first and second regions; forming a first patterned layer (104, 104a) which exposes first isolation areas in the first region and which covers substantially all of the second region and active device areas in the first region; removing portions of the oxidation barrier layer

Art Unit: 2823

(103) exposed by the first patterned layer (104, 104a) to expose the first isolation areas; implanting a first channel-stop dopant into the first isolation areas exposed by the first patterned layer (104, 104a) and the oxidation barrier layer (103); removing the first patterned layer (104, 104a); without forming an oxide on the first isolation areas, forming a second patterned layer (104b, 104c) which exposes second isolation areas in the second region and which covers substantially all of the first region and active device areas in the second region; removing portions of the oxidation barrier layer (103) exposed by the second patterned layer (104b, 104c) to expose the second isolation areas; implanting a second channel-stop dopant into the second isolation areas exposed by the second patterned layer (104b, 104c) and the oxidation barrier layer (103), wherein the first isolation areas are protected by only the second patterned layer (104b, 104c) during implantation of the second channel-stop dopant into the second isolation areas.; removing the second patterned layer (104b, 104c); and growing a field oxide (106) on the first and second isolation areas where exposed by the oxidation barrier layer (103) in a single oxidation step, wherein critical dimensions for the active device areas in the first region are selected independently from critical dimensions selected for the active device areas in the second region (column 3, line 67 – column 4, line 61).

Furthermore, in another embodiment of the invention, Katoh et al. (Figs.6A-6F) teach forming isolation regions including forming n-type and p-type (601) regions within a substrate (600); forming an oxidation barrier (603) made of silicon nitride on the surface of the substrate (600) over the n-type and the p-type (601) regions; forming a

Application/Control Number: 09/803,715 Page 5

Art Unit: 2823

first patterned layer (604) over the n-type region and exposing isolation areas in the p-type region (601); implanting a first channel-stop dopant into the first isolation areas exposed by the first patterned layer (604) and the oxidation barrier layer (603); removing the first patterned layer (604); forming a second patterned layer (604a) which exposes second isolation areas in the p-type region (601); implanting a second channel-stop dopant into the second isolation areas exposed by the second patterned layer (604a) and the oxidation barrier layer (603), wherein the first isolation areas are protected by only the second patterned layer (604a) during implantation of the second channel-stop dopant into the second isolation areas; removing the second patterned layer (604a); and growing a field oxide (606) on the first and second isolation areas where exposed by the oxidation barrier layer (603) in a single oxidation step, wherein critical dimensions for the active device areas in the p-type region are selected independently from critical dimensions selected for the active device areas in the p-type region (column 7, line 11 – column 8, line 42).

Since both embodiments of the invention ends up at the same stage prior the single oxidation step (Figs.1C and 6C), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the isolation regions disclosed in the second embodiment of the invention using the patterning process described in the first embodiment of the invention.

8. Claims 33 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katoh et al. (U.S. 5,169,792) as applied to claims 1, 32, 35, 38 and 39 above, and further in view of Nagasawa et al. (U.S. 4,110,899).

Katoh et al. teach wherein the oxidation barrier overlies an oxide layer (column 4, lines 4 – 9), but fail to teach wherein said oxide layer is patterned together with the oxidation barrier layer using the first and second patterned layers to expose the first and second isolation areas. However, Nagasawa et al. (Figs.1-6) in a related method to form isolated areas teach forming an oxide layer (3) over a substrate (1) having a p-type region (2) and an n-type region; forming an oxidation barrier layer (4) over said oxide layer (3); and patterning the oxidation barrier layer (4), thus forming isolation areas; implanting channel stop dopants on the isolation areas, wherein said patterning comprises either patterning the oxidation barrier layer (4), or patterning the oxidation barrier layer (4) and the oxide layer (3), and wherein both patterning processes end up in the formation of the field oxide (column 3, line 44 – column 6, line 12). It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Katoh et al. and Nagasawa et al. to enable the patterning step of Katoh et al. to be performed according to the teachings of Nagasawa et al. because one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to look to alternative suitable methods of performing the disclosed patterning step of Katoh et al. and art recognized suitability for an intended purpose has been recognized to be motivation to combine. MPEP 2144.07.

9. Claims 34 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katoh et al. (U.S. 5,169,792) in view of Nagasawa et al. (U.S. 4,110,899) as applied to claims 1, 32, 33, 35 and 38-40 above, and further in view of Hosaka (JP 63-271956, reference AE cited in the IDS filed on 06/28/2001).

Art Unit: 2823

on 06/28/2001).

The combination of Katoh et al. and Nagasawa et al. substantially teach all aspects of the invention but fail to disclose wherein the oxidation barrier overlies a polysilicon layer on the oxide layer which is patterned together with the oxidation barrier and the oxide layer using the first and second patterned layers to expose the first and second isolation areas. However, Hosaka (Figs.1a-1g) in a related method to form isolation areas teaches sequentially forming an oxide layer (2), a polysilicon layer (3), and a nitride oxidation barrier layer (4) over a substrate (1) for the further advantage of reduce defects within the semiconductor substrate (1) (page 8, line 19 - page 12, line 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Katoh et al. and Nagasawa et al. with the teachings of Hosaka to enable forming a polysilicon layer between the oxide layer and the nitride oxidation barrier layer of Katoh et al. and Nagasawa, since as mentioned above, this would prevent formation of defects in the semiconductor substrate. 10. Claims 36, 37 and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katoh et al. (U.S. 5,169,792) in view of Nagasawa et al. (U.S. 4,110,899) as applied to claims 1, 32, 33, 35 and 38-40 above, and further in view of

The combined teachings of Katoh et al. and Nagasawa et al. substantially teach all aspects of the invention but fail to disclose prior to removing the first patterned layer, etching the substrate through the first patterned layer to form recesses in the first isolation areas in the n-type region and in the p-type region. However, Wolf in page 39-

Wolf (Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era, volume 2, reference AK cited in the IDS filed

Art Unit: 2823

40 teaches fully recessed oxide LOCOS wherein a recess is formed in the substrate before oxidation (Fig.2-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Katoh et al. and Nagasawa et al. with the teachings of Wolf to enable modifying the oxidation process of Katoh et al. and Nagasawa et al. by employing the fully recessed LOCOS as suggested by Wolf in the p-type and in the n-type regions of Katoh et al. and Nagasawa et al., because the isolation structure obtained by the fully recessed LOCOS not only reducing bird's beak encroachment but also resulting in a planar surface topography (last paragraph of page 40).

Conclusion

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Julio J. Maldonado whose telephone number is (571) 272-1864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax number for this group is 703-872-9306 for before final submissions, 703-872-9306 for after final submissions and the customer service number for group 2800 is (703) 306-3329. Updates can be found at http://www.uspto.gov/web/info/2800.htm.

Julio J. Maldonado Patent Examiner Art Unit 2823

Julio J. Maldonado August 20, 2004

George Fourson Primary Examiner